

**THE TWENTY-SIXTH MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE
OF THE CITY OF GREATER SUDBURY**

**Council Chamber
Tom Davies Square**

**Tuesday, November 4th, 2003
Commencement: 7:00 p.m.
Adjournment: 9:30 p.m.**

COUNCILLOR RON BRADLEY PRESIDING

Present Councillors Dupuis, Petryna

Councillor Craig

Staff Bill Lautenbach, Director of Planning Services; Bill Tanos, Cartographer/GIS Technologist; Greg Clausen, Director of Engineering Services; Doug Nadorozny, General Manager of Economic Development and Planning Services; Angie Haché, Deputy City Clerk; Melissa Burtch, Licencing and Assessment Clerk; Katherine Bowschar-Lische, Planning Committee Secretary

News Media Sudbury Star

Declarations of Pecuniary Interest None declared.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

APPLICATIONS FOR REZONING AND SUBDIVISION TO ADD 10 NEW LOTS AND 8 PART LOTS FOR SINGLE RESIDENTIAL USE, NORTH SIDE OF ALGONQUIN ROAD - BRODER SUDBURY DEVELOPMENTS

The Planning Committee meeting was adjourned and the Public Hearing was opened to deal with the following application.

Report dated October 24th, 2003, was received from the Director of Planning Services and the General Manager, Economic Development and Planning Services regarding applications for rezoning and subdivision to add 10 new lots and 8 part lots for single residential use, north side of Algonquin Road - Broder Sudbury Developments.

Letter dated October 30th, 2003, was received from Simon Nickson and Kim LaCoste, in opposition to the above-noted application.

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

APPLICATIONS FOR REZONING AND SUBDIVISION TO ADD 10 NEW LOTS AND 8 PART LOTS FOR SINGLE RESIDENTIAL USE, NORTH SIDE OF ALGONQUIN ROAD - BRODER SUDBURY DEVELOPMENTS (cont'd)

The agent for the applicant, Celia Teale, Planner with Dalron Construction, 130 Elm Street, Sudbury, was present.

The Director of Planning Services outlined the applications to the Committee.

Ms. Teale advised that these applications are as a result of two plans of subdivision coming together - Mallard's Green and Algonquin II. The developer has owned the two subdivision properties since the late 1980's but not the lands between the two. One of the things that was identified in the Southend Secondary Plan was a road linkage from Algonquin Road through the subject property to Mallard's Green Subdivision. In terms of parkland dedication, they did delegate more than the 5% as a result of the Mallard's Green Subdivision.

Councillor Dupuis questioned why blasting occurred prior to 6:30 a.m. contrary to the By-law as mentioned in the letter dated October 30th, 2003 from Mr. Nickson and Ms. Lacoste.

Ms. Teale advised that a By-law Enforcement Officer did attend the site and notified the contractor of the hours when blasting/drilling would be permitted according to the By-law. The situation has been resolved.

Simon Nickson, 49 Mist Hollow Drive, Sudbury, was present in opposition to the applications as per his letter dated October 30th, 2003. He indicated that one of his major concerns is flow through traffic from Regent Street to Algonquin Road. He is concerned that if the proposed connection is approved, this road linkage would be used as a by-pass route to Algonquin Road. He advised that with the proposed connection, the distance to Algonquin Road would be 1.2 km compared to Regent Street which is 2.3 km. He stated that traffic will increase and is concerned for the safety of children. He does not understand why the road linkage is required and would be more in favour of a pedestrian crossing. He stated that the residents have asked many questions on the proposed development in the area and have received varied responses. He would like to see a comprehensive plan for the area. He indicated that the developer was not respectful of the neighbourhood as the area residents were given no prior notice or warning of drilling and blasting in the area. He stated that the trails have been impacted by the movement of shovels behind properties in the neighbourhood and the new development. He is also concerned about greenspace and indicated the children's playground was limited.

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

APPLICATIONS FOR REZONING AND SUBDIVISION TO ADD 10 NEW LOTS AND 8 PART LOTS FOR SINGLE RESIDENTIAL USE, NORTH SIDE OF ALGONQUIN ROAD - BRODER SUDBURY DEVELOPMENTS (cont'd)

Mr. Nickson stated that, in his opinion, the tower structure in the pond area poses a danger to children. He does not allow his children on the pond area. He pointed out that access along Regent Street by pedestrians from the neighbourhood to the commercial shopping area is difficult and requested the construction of sidewalks along Regent Street.

The Director of Engineering Services indicated that as part of the Southend Official Plan Study, different road links were incorporated into the design of the subdivisions. Internal road connections are needed to efficiently move local traffic and funnel it to major routes.

The Director of Planning Services stated that in 1995, the Comprehensive Southend Development Plan was approved by Council following extensive public consultation. In this case, the developer has built to allow for multiple connections through the area, so there is not just one collector spine.

Ms. Teale advised that they did not have a meeting with the area residents as the road linkage was identified as necessary in the Southend Plan. In 1999, area residents approached Dalron as they wanted to apply for funding to put a trail system in the area. Dalron agreed on the understanding that this area was slated for development and when the development proceeds, the trails would need to be relocated or another solution found. With respect to blasting, residents were notified by the contractor who did a pre-blast survey. Mr. Nickson was not notified since he is outside of the monitored area but she believes the contractor did speak with him.

Councillor Craig, Ward Councillor, spoke in favour of the applications. He indicated that the tower structure concerns would be investigated to ensure the safety of the public. Looking at the proposal from a planning view, he believes what is being proposed is compatible with the existing development which consists of single residential complexes. He understands Mr. Nickson's trepidation with linkage of the 2 subdivisions but believes those concerns will be looked after.

The Public Hearing concerning this matter was closed and the Planning Committee resumed in order to discuss and vote on the application.

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

APPLICATIONS FOR REZONING AND SUBDIVISION TO ADD 10 NEW LOTS AND 8 PART LOTS FOR SINGLE RESIDENTIAL USE, NORTH SIDE OF ALGONQUIN ROAD - BRODER SUDBURY DEVELOPMENTS (cont'd)

The following recommendations were presented:

Recommendation #2003-197

Dupuis-Petryna: That the application by Broder Sudbury Developments to amend By-law 95-500Z being the Zoning By-law for the former City of Sudbury by changing the zoning classification of Part of Parcel 50208 S.E.S., in Lots 4 and 5, Concession 6, Broder Township from "FD", Future Development to "R1", Single Residential be approved subject to the following:

- (a) That the applicant provide the Development Services Section with a registered survey plan describing the land to be rezoned to enable the preparation of an amending by-law.

CONCURRING MEMBERS: Councillors Dupuis, Petryna, Bradley

CARRIED

Recommendation #2003-198

Petryna-Dupuis: That the conditions of draft approval for the draft plan of subdivision by Broder Sudbury Developments of Part of Parcel 48626 S.E.S., in Lot 5, Concession 6, Broder Township, File # 780-6/89002, be amended as follows:

- (a) By deleting Conditions #9 and #10.
- (b) By deleting Condition #11 and replacing it with the following:
 - "11. That the proposed Algonquin II to Mallard's Green road connection be completed in 2004."
- (c) By adding the following section (c) to Condition #19:
 - "19.(c) The draft plan is further amended by adding Part of Parcel 50208 S.E.S. and modifying the layout as shown on a plan prepared by T. Del Bosco, O.L.S. and dated August 12, 2003."

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

APPLICATIONS FOR REZONING AND SUBDIVISION TO ADD 10 NEW LOTS AND 8 PART LOTS FOR SINGLE RESIDENTIAL USE, NORTH SIDE OF ALGONQUIN ROAD - BRODER SUDBURY DEVELOPMENTS (cont'd)

Recommendation #2003-198 (cont'd)

(d) By adding a new condition requiring integration as follows:

- “23. That the registered Plan be integrated with the City of Greater Sudbury Control Network to the satisfaction of the Coordinator of the Geographic Information, Surveys and Mapping Section; provision of the final plan coordinate listings and an AutoCAD file of the resultant parcel fabric shall formulate part of this requirement.”

CONCURRING MEMBERS: Councillors Dupuis, Petryna, Bradley

CARRIED

APPLICATION FOR REZONING TO LEGALIZE A COMMERCIAL DANCE STUDIO WHICH HAS BEEN LOCATED WITHIN AN EXISTING BUILDING AND TO ESTABLISH “SERVICE TRADES” AS AN ADDITIONAL PERMITTED LAND USE, 887 NOTRE DAME AVENUE, SUDBURY - HENRY CROCCO COMPANY LIMITED

The Planning Committee meeting was adjourned and the Public Hearing was opened to deal with the following application.

Report dated October 27th, 2003, from the Director of Planning Services and the General Manager, Economic Development and Planning Services regarding a rezoning application to legalize a commercial dance studio which has been located within an existing building and to establish “service trades” as an additional permitted land use (887 Notre Dame Avenue, Sudbury) - Henry Crocco Company Limited.

The applicant, Henry Crocco, 1380 Keast Drive, Sudbury, and Len Crocco, 1366 Keast Drive, were present.

The Director of Planning Services outlined the application to the Committee.

Mr. Crocco indicated that this building has been ready for occupancy since January 1st, 1992 but he had a hard time renting it. He stated he had previously applied for rezoning but to no avail. This unit was vacant for 5 out of 11 years. The dance studio would be open in the evenings and on Saturdays and Sundays. Most of the clients would walk to the dance studio from home or from school.

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

APPLICATION FOR REZONING TO LEGALIZE A COMMERCIAL DANCE STUDIO WHICH HAS BEEN LOCATED WITHIN AN EXISTING BUILDING AND TO ESTABLISH "SERVICE TRADES" AS AN ADDITIONAL PERMITTED LAND USE, 887 NOTRE DAME AVENUE, SUDBURY - HENRY CROCCO COMPANY LIMITED (cont'd)

Mr. Crocco said that parents drop their children off and pick them up after the dance class is over. A safe entranceway has been constructed so that the students would not need to utilize the driveway. The upper area of the building is office space with plenty of parking available.

_____ Joanne Chartrand, 124 Notre Dame Street, Azilda, was present in favour of the application. She indicated that she operates the dance studio with her partner. She advised that Mr. Crocco has provided steps for the students who attend the dance studio to allow them safe access. The major traffic for the dance studio is in the evenings at 4:30 p.m. when there are no vehicles except for the teacher and the owner. On Saturday mornings there are 4 - 5 students. This location is ideal for their clientele.

_____ Councillor Dupuis, Ward Councillor, indicated that he had not received any calls or concerns regarding this application. He stated that he is very familiar with this area and is aware that there is ample parking at this site.

No objectors were present.

The Public Hearing concerning this matter was closed and the Planning Committee resumed in order to discuss and vote on the application.

The following recommendation was presented:

Recommendation #2003-199

Dupuis-Petryna: That the application by Henry Crocco Company Limited to amend By-law 95-500Z being the Comprehensive Zoning By-law for the (former) City of Sudbury from "C2-33", Special General Commercial to a revised "C2-33", Special General Commercial with respect to those lands described as P.I.N. 02127-0405 being Parts 5 to 8 inclusive, Plan 53R-12139 and Part 1, Plan 53R-16156 in Lot 5, Concession 5, Township of McKim be recommended for approval subject to the following condition:

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

APPLICATION FOR REZONING TO LEGALIZE A COMMERCIAL DANCE STUDIO WHICH HAS BEEN LOCATED WITHIN AN EXISTING BUILDING AND TO ESTABLISH "SERVICE TRADES" AS AN ADDITIONAL PERMITTED LAND USE, 887 NOTRE DAME AVENUE, SUDBURY - HENRY CROCCO COMPANY LIMITED (cont'd)

Recommendation #2003-199 (cont'd)

1. In addition to the uses permitted in the "C2-33", Special General Commercial zone a commercial dance studio and service trades shall also be permitted.

CONCURRING MEMBERS: Councillors Dupuis, Petryna, Bradley

CARRIED

APPLICATION FOR REZONING TO PERMIT THE SUBJECT PROPERTY TO BE SPLIT INTO 5 INDIVIDUAL LOTS FOR SINGLE RESIDENTIAL USE, WITH ALL LOTS SERVICED BY A PRIVATE RIGHT-OF-WAY, WEST END OF TORBAY ROAD, SUDBURY - GILBERT CHARETTE, IN TRUST

The Planning Committee meeting was adjourned and the Public Hearing was opened to deal with the following application.

Report dated October 28th, 2003, from the Director of Planning Services and the General Manager, Economic Development and Planning Services regarding a rezoning application to permit the subject property to be split into 5 individual lots for single residential use, with all lots serviced by a private right of way, west end of Torbay Road, Sudbury - Gilbert Charette, In Trust.

The agent for the applicant, Mac D. Sinclair, 214 Alder Street, Sudbury, the applicant, Gil Charette, and Roger O'Malley, neighbour, were present.

The Director of Planning Services outlined the application to the Committee.

Councillor Petryna, Ward Councillor, questioned how long the gabions have existed on the site.

Mr. O'Malley indicated that the new gabion was installed last summer which replaced gabions that existed for at least 20 years prior.

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

APPLICATION FOR REZONING TO PERMIT THE SUBJECT PROPERTY TO BE SPLIT INTO 5 INDIVIDUAL LOTS FOR SINGLE RESIDENTIAL USE, WITH ALL LOTS SERVICED BY A PRIVATE RIGHT-OF-WAY, WEST END OF TORBAY ROAD, SUDBURY - GILBERT CHARETTE, IN TRUST (cont'd)

Mr. Sinclair indicated that the applicant has reviewed the Staff Report and recommendation and is in full agreement with the recommendation. He advised that this development is completely self-contained with sewer and water lines installed on the right-of-way. The gas line goes along the railway and can be accessed by residents. He submitted a Petition signed by residents of Torbay Road in support of this application. In 1992, there was an appeal to the OMB of an application to create condominiums. He explained that the reason the Condominium Corporation was not registered was that in 1998, the Condominium Act stated that a condominium couldn't be registered unless there were 5 dwellings in place. After 1998, the Condominium Act was changed to allow for vacant land condominium development. There are presently 2 lots developed on the site and there are persons who are prepared to purchase the other 3 lots.

Victoria Lachapelle, 111 Sable Street, Sudbury, stated her objection to the proposal has much to do with a previous agreement. In June of 1993, the appellants to the previous rezoning by-law were summoned to C-12, Tom Davies Square, to meet with Mr. Charette, In Trust, Mr. Humphries and Mr. Potvin. They were encouraged to come together as a group to try to work out their concerns. An Agreement was prepared by Rolston, Humphrey & Arseneau. The agreement included a height restriction of any dwelling house or associated outbuilding to be erected on the lands comprising of the condominium to two storeys above the finished grade of the condominium access road, exclusive of chimneys, and in no case, should any roof peak or structure of any sort to be built upon the lands, exceed a height to be measured six (6) feet above the top of the rail of the existing adjacent Canadian Pacific Railway line. This Agreement was to be registered by way of Notice of Agreement against the title to Parcel 624 S.E.S., being Part 2, Plan 53R-13949. The appellants agreed to withdraw the appeals subject to the terms of the Agreement. The Agreement was signed by Mr. Charette and the appellants, however, it was not registered on title. She indicated that they made the agreement in good faith and have a legal binding agreement.

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

APPLICATION FOR REZONING TO PERMIT THE SUBJECT PROPERTY TO BE SPLIT INTO 5 INDIVIDUAL LOTS FOR SINGLE RESIDENTIAL USE, WITH ALL LOTS SERVICED BY A PRIVATE RIGHT-OF-WAY, WEST END OF TORBAY ROAD, SUDBURY - GILBERT CHARETTE, IN TRUST (cont'd)

Mr. Sinclair indicated that the owner applied to the OMB to dismiss the appeals and an agreement resulted. He said that the appeals were withdrawn following the signing of the Agreement. Mr. Charette is prepared to honour this Agreement but indicated that there are 2 houses built on the site presently which have not been built according to the terms of the Agreement. He stated that he could not explain why the Agreement was not registered. One of the houses which was built is 3" above what is stated in the Agreement and the roof is much higher on the other house. He said that the applicant would be agreeable to a height provision being included in the By-law that mirrors the commitment in the Agreement and to register the Agreement. However, he pointed out that the issue of the 2 houses that are built, which are at variance, needs to be addressed. He said that the applicant had every intention of proceeding with his development in 1993 but it didn't go ahead. In all fairness to Mr. Charette, the Agreement was overlooked.

Sav Dagostino, was present in support of the application. He indicated that when he purchased his property there was no lien on it. He bought this property with the intention of building a functional home. He was not aware of and is not in favour of the Agreement. He said that he is paying taxes on this property and it is too bad that he is taking the view away from some people. He indicated that he would not have purchased this property if he were aware of the height restriction. He said that one of the neighbours built an elongated home which takes the view away from other people also.

Guido Guerra, 133 Laroche Street, Sudbury, was present in opposition to the application. He indicated that his home is at the west end of Torbay Road and it does not obstruct anyone's view. He said that he was one of the signatories of the Agreement. He believes that Mr. Charette is not trustworthy. He asked that the Committee defer the application until they have some legal resolution.

Christine Baird, 125 Lennox Street, Sudbury, was present in opposition to the application. She indicated that the property should not be rezoned until the litigation matter was settled. She referred to a previous Staff Report on February 13th, 1991, that stated that if for any reason a condominium was not registered then the property would be rezoned back to R-2.

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

APPLICATION FOR REZONING TO PERMIT THE SUBJECT PROPERTY TO BE SPLIT INTO 5 INDIVIDUAL LOTS FOR SINGLE RESIDENTIAL USE, WITH ALL LOTS SERVICED BY A PRIVATE RIGHT-OF-WAY, WEST END OF TORBAY ROAD, SUDBURY - GILBERT CHARETTE, IN TRUST (cont'd)

Susan Dagostino was present in favour of the application. She indicated that she owns one of the properties in question. She does not believe that anyone should be told what they can build on their property. She stated that if anyone wanted a view and access to the lake then they should pay for a lake front property and its taxes as she does. She said that the road and the track are well below the other residents in the area. It is impossible to build a 2 storey home if there is insufficient space. These properties do not affect the sewers or safety of others but only block their view.

Glen Fuller was present in support of the application. He indicated that he has recently built his home on Lot 1. He said that he is concerned about the pending litigation which has been mentioned. He stated that he built his house according to the guidelines. He believes that all neighbours should respect each other and carry on with their lives.

Mr. Sinclair stated that the applicant recognizes that there was a previous height commitment and that he is prepared to have the staff recommendation amended to include a height restriction. The applicant will abide by the wishes of the Committee.

The Public Hearing concerning this matter was closed and the Planning Committee resumed in order to discuss and vote on the application.

The Committee approved a motion by Councillor Petryna to defer the application to the next Planning Committee Meeting in order that legal interpretation could be obtained regarding the Agreement.

Recess At 9:10 p.m., the Planning Committee recessed.

Reconvene At 9:15 p.m., the Planning Committee reconvened.

APPLICATION TO AMEND THE SECONDARY PLAN AND FOR REZONING TO LEGALIZE AN EXISTING AUTOMOBILE REPAIR SHOP AND A RECREATIONAL VEHICLE SALES ESTABLISHMENT WHICH HAVE BEEN LOCATED ON THE SUBJECT LANDS, 2164 FALCONBRIDGE ROAD, GARSON - RICHARD D. TOULOUSE

The Planning Committee meeting was adjourned and the Public Hearing was opened to deal with the following application.

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

**APPLICATION TO AMEND THE SECONDARY PLAN AND FOR REZONING TO LEGALIZE AN EXISTING AUTOMOBILE REPAIR SHOP AND A RECREATIONAL VEHICLE SALES ESTABLISHMENT WHICH HAVE BEEN LOCATED ON THE SUBJECT LANDS, 2164 FALCONBRIDGE ROAD, GARSON
- RICHARD D. TOULOUSE (cont'd)**

Report dated October 28th, 2003, from the Director of Planning Services and the General Manager, Economic Development and Planning Services regarding an amendment to the Secondary Plan and a rezoning application to legalize an existing automobile repair shop and a recreational vehicle sales establishment which have been located on the subject lands (2164 Falconbridge Road, Garson) - Richard D. Toulouse.

Letter dated November 4th, 2003, from Ted Callaghan, Ward 4 Councillor, in favour of the application was distributed to Committee Members at the meeting.

The agent for the applicant, Dave Dorland, 298 Larch Street, Sudbury, and the applicant, Richard Denis Toulouse, were present.

The Director of Planning Services outlined the application to the Committee.

Mr. Dorland stated that the applicant reviewed and is satisfied with the conditions of approval and will comply with them. He indicated that the existing "second" entrance opposite Carr Avenue will be closed. He said that the applicant has just finished the construction of the repair garage.

The Public Hearing concerning this matter was closed and the Planning Committee resumed in order to discuss and vote on the application.

The following recommendations were presented:

Recommendation #2003-200

Petryna-Dupuis: That the application by Richard D. Toulouse to amend the Secondary Plan for the Nickel Centre Settlements, on a site specific basis, by deleting "Official Plan Amendment # 192", and establishing a subsequent site specific amendment which would permit an automotive dealership, an automobile repair shop, a recreational vehicle sales establishment and one dwelling unit be approved subject to the following condition:

PUBLIC HEARINGS (cont'd)

**APPLICATION TO AMEND THE SECONDARY PLAN AND FOR REZONING TO
LEGALIZE AN EXISTING AUTOMOBILE REPAIR SHOP AND A RECREATIONAL
VEHICLE SALES ESTABLISHMENT WHICH HAVE BEEN LOCATED ON THE
SUBJECT LANDS, 2164 FALCONBRIDGE ROAD, GARSON
- RICHARD D. TOULOUSE (cont'd)**

Recommendation #2003-200 (cont'd)

1. Prior to the adoption of the above noted Official Plan Amendment, the Director of Planning Services shall be advised that Part 4, Plan 53R-16246 has been consolidated with Parcel 21747 S.E.S. to the satisfaction of the Director of Legal Services/City Solicitor.

CONCURRING MEMBERS: Councillors Dupuis, Petryna, Bradley

CARRIED

Recommendation #2003-201

Dupuis-Petryna: That the application (as revised to include Part 4, Plan 53R-16246) by Richard D. Toulouse to amend By-law 83-304 being the Comprehensive Zoning By-law for the (former) Town of Nickel Centre from "M1-7", Special Mixed Light Industrial/Service Commercial to a revised "M1-Special", Special Mixed Light Industrial/Service Commercial be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. That the "Existing Asphalt Area to be Removed" at the northwesterly limits of the subject lands and corresponding landscaping related thereto, as indicated on the Amended Site Plan Control Agreement registered on October 25th, 2002, be completed to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Public Works and Director of Planning Services before June 31st, 2004, and prior to the passage of an amending zoning by-law.
2. That before June 31st, 2004, the owner shall enter into an Amended Site Plan Control Agreement, for a revised lot area, with the City of Greater Sudbury to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning Services.
3. That the amending zoning by-law establish that the only permitted uses shall be an automotive dealership, an automobile repair shop, a recreational vehicle sales establishment and one dwelling unit.

CONCURRING MEMBERS: Councillors Dupuis, Petryna, Bradley

CARRIED

PART I - CONSENT AGENDA

The following recommendation was presented to adopt Item C-1 contained in Part 1 of the Consent Agenda:

Recommendation #2003-202

Petryna-Dupuis: That Item C-1 contained in Part 1, Consent Agenda, be adopted.

CARRIED

ROUTINE MANAGEMENT REPORTS

Item C-1
Sale of Surplus
Property, Part of
Parcel 15936
S.E.S., 346
Murray Street

Report dated October 27th, 2003, from the General Manager, Corporate Services regarding Sale of Surplus Property, Part of Parcel 15936 S.E.S., municipally known as 346 Murray Street.

Recommendation #2003-203

Petryna-Dupuis: That the City of Greater Sudbury enter into an Agreement of Purchase and Sale with Janet MacKenzie for the land legally described as Part of Parcel 15936 S.E.S., municipally known as 346 Murray Street, Sudbury, subject to the terms and conditions outlined in the report dated October 27th, 2003; and

That the Clerk and Property Negotiator / Appraiser be authorized to execute all documents necessary to complete the real estate transaction.

CARRIED

Adjournment

Recommendation #2003-204

Dupuis-Petryna: That we do now adjourn.
Time: 9:30 p.m.

CARRIED

DEPUTY CITY CLERK

CHAIR RON BRADLEY PRESIDING